

University of Groningen

Identity/Nonidentity in Emily Elizabeth Constance Jones (1848-1922)

Peijnenburg, Jeanne; Ostertag, Gary

Published in:
The Encyclopedia of Concise Concepts by Women Philosophers

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2019

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Peijnenburg, J., & Ostertag, G. (2019). Identity/Nonidentity in Emily Elizabeth Constance Jones (1848-1922). In M. E. Waithe, & R. Hagengruber (Eds.), *The Encyclopedia of Concise Concepts by Women Philosophers* Paderborn University. <https://historyofwomenphilosophers.org/ecc/#hwps>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



Identity / Nonidentity in Emily Elizabeth Constance Jones (1848–1922)

Gary Ostertag & Jeanne Peijnenburg

University of Groningen & City University of New York

According to E.E. Constance Jones, the most general form of an informative (or “significant”) categorical proposition is given by ‘*S is P*’ or ‘*S is not P*’. The proposition ‘*S is P*’ asserts “Identity in Diversity” (Jones 1890: ix). The identity applies to the denomination (denotation, extension) of *S* and *P*, the diversity to their determination or intension (see *Intension/Extension*). ‘*S is P*’ is *uninformative* if it asserts the identity of both the denomination and the determination (Jones 1890: 128, note 1; Jones 1893-1894: 37-38).

The proposition’s nonidentity form ‘*S is not P*’ does not simply deny what the proposition ‘*S is P*’ asserts. One does not use this form to assert the mere “diversity” of intension: one uses it to assert, in addition, the distinctness of the denomination. It thus asserts difference both in “signification” (or intension) *and* in “application” (or extension) (Jones 1892: 23).

Jones considers the Aristotelian propositions of the forms ‘All *S* are *P*’, ‘No *S* are *P*’, ‘Some *S* are *P*’, ‘Some *S* are not *P*’ – which she calls ‘class-propositions’ – as a proper subset of her categorical propositions (Jones 1890: 198; Jones 1911b, pp. 25ff). She also takes her view of a significant categorical proposition to constitute a new law of thought (see *Law of Significant Assertion*), intending this law to replace the first of three fundamental laws of thought, viz. the Law of Identity (‘*A is A*’), which according to Jones is uninformative and renders impossible any passage to an informative ‘*A is B*’ (Jones 1911a, 1911b; cf. Ostertag 2011). Somewhat remarkably, however, she also regards the Law of Identity as “a necessary presupposition of all significant assertion [...] and even [...] of thought itself” (Jones 1890: 40).

The new law provides a straightforward formulation of the remaining two fundamental laws of thought, namely

‘*S is P*’ and ‘*S is not P*’ cannot both be true (Law of Noncontradiction)

How to cite this article: Jeanne Peijnenburg, Gary Ostertag & 2019. “Identity / Nonidentity in Emily Elizabeth Constance Jones (1848–1922)”, in Waithe, Mary Ellen & Hagengruber, Ruth (eds.): *Encyclopedia of Concise Concepts by Women Philosophers*. DOI: (DOI pending) [12|11|2019].

'S is *P*' and 'S is not *P*' cannot both be false (Law of the Excluded Middle).

Moreover, the law suggests a classification of fallacies, dividing them into (a) those which identify what is different and (b) those which distinguish what is identical (Jones 1890: 178-195; Jones 1911b: 68).

Primary Sources:

Jones, Emily Elizabeth Constance 1890. *Elements of Logic as a Science of Propositions*. Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark.

_____ 1892. *An Introduction to General Logic*. London: Longman's, Green, and Co.

_____ 1893-94. Import Of Categorical Propositions. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 2: 35-45.

_____ 1911a. A New 'Law of Thought' and its Implications. *Mind* 20, 41-53.

_____ 1911b. *A New Law of Thought and Its Logical Bearings*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Secondary Sources:

Ostertag, Gary. 2011. Emily Elizabeth Constance Jones, *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, URL: <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/emily-elizabeth-constance-jones/> [10|04|2019].

Keywords:

identity, nonidentity, denomination, determination, intension, assertion, Aristotle

Copyright 2019



How to cite this article: Jeanne Peijnenburg, Gary Ostertag & 2019. "Identity / Nonidentity in Emily Elizabeth Constance Jones (1848-1922)", in Waithe, Mary Ellen & Hagengruber, Ruth (eds.): *Encyclopedia of Concise Concepts by Women Philosophers*. DOI: (DOI pending) [12|11|2019].

<https://historyofwomenphilosophers.org/ecc/>